TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

MECEIVED

In the Matter of:

Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC et al.

For commission consent to assignment of various authorizations in wireless radio service

EB Docket No. 11-71

ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING: __January 25, 2012 ____ VOLUME: ___3___

PLACE OF HEARING: __WASHINGTON, D.C. __ PAGES: __297-436_

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the matter of:) EB Docket No. 11-71 MARITIME COMMUNICATION/) File No. EB-09-IH-1751 LAND MOBILE, LLC FRN: 0013587779 Application in Auction Application File Nos. No. 61 and Licensee of 0004030479, 0004144435 Various Authorizations 0004193028, 0004193328 in the Wireless Radio 0004354053, 0004309872 Services 0004310060, 0004314903 0004315013, 0004430505 Applicant for 0004417199, 0004419431 Modification of Various) 0004422320, 0004422329 Authorizations in the 0004507921, 0004153701 Wireless Radio Services) 0004526264, 0004636537 and 0004604962 Applicant with ENCANA OIL AND GAS (USA), INC.;) DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY, DCP MIDSTREAM, LP; JACKSON COUNTY RURAL MEMBERSHIP ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE; PUGET SOUND) ENERGY, INC.; ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC.; INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY; WISCONSIN) POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY) DIXIE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION, INC.; ATLAS PIPELINE-MID) CONTINENT, LLC.; DENTON) COUNTY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., DBA COSERV ELECTRIC; AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REGIONAL RAIL AUTHORITY) For Commission Consent to the Assignment of) Wednesday Various Authorizations) January 25, 2012 in Wireless Radio) Volume 3 Service

NEAL R. GROSS

Hearing Room TW-A363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.,

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JUDGE RICHARD L. SIPPEL Chief Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

PAMELA S. KANE, ESQ. Deputy Chief

BRIAN J. CARTER, ESQ.

of: Investigations & Hearings Division Enforcement Bureau

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

(202) 418-2393

On Behalf of the Licensee, Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC:

BOB KELLER, ESQ

of: Law Offices of Robert J. Keller, P.C.

1850 M Street, N.W.

Suite 240

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 223-2100

On Behalf of the Petitioners, Warren C. Havens; Environmental, LLC; Intelligent Transportation and Monitoring Wireless, LLC.; Skybridge Spectrum Foundation; Telesaurus Holdings GB, LLC; Verde Systems, LLC; and V2G LLC:

HOWARD M. LIBERMAN, ESQ. PATRICK R. McFADDEN, ESQ.

of: Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP 1500 K Street, N.W.

Suite 1100

Washington, D.C. 20005-1209

(202) 842-8876

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

On Behalf of the Applicants, Atlas Pipeline Mid-Continent, LLC; DCP Midstream, LP; Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.; EnCana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc.; and Jackson County Rural Electric Membership Corporation:

JACK RICHARDS, ESQ.
of: Keller and Heckman, LLP
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 434-4210

On Behalf of the Applicant, Denton County Electric Cooperative, Inc. (present by teleconference):

ROBERT J. MILLER, ESQ.
of: Gardere Wynne Sewell, LLP
3000 Thanksgiving Tower
1601 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75201-4761
(214) 999-3000

On Behalf of the Applicant, Dixie Electric Membership Corporation, Inc. and Pinnacle Wireless:

ALBERT J. CATALANO, ESQ.

MATTHEW J. PLACHE, ESQ. of: Catalano & Plache, PLLC 3221 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 338-3200

On Behalf of the Applicants, Interstate Power & Light Company and Wisconsin Power & Light Company:

> KURT E. DeSOTO, ESQ. JOSHUA S. TURNER, ESQ.

of: Wiley Rein, LLP 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 719-7235

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES (Cont'd):

On Behalf of the Applicant, Southern California Regional Rail Authority:

HARRY F. COLE, ESQ.

of: Fletcher, Heald & Hilreth, PLC 11th Floor 1300 North 17th Street Arlington, Virginia 22209

(703) 812-0483

On Behalf of the Applicant, Puget Sound Energy Inc.:

JEFFREY L. SHELDON, ESQ.

of: Fish & Richardson, P.C.

1425 K Street, N.W.

11th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 783-5070

On Behalf of the Applicant, Duquesne Light Company:

CHARLES A. ZDEBSKI, ESQ.

of: Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

12th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-6605

ALSO PRESENT (by teleconference):
WARREN HAVENS
President, SkyTel Entities

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 9:38 a.m. 2 This 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: is prehearing conference that was called on my 4 order in the matter of Maritime 5 Communications, EB Docket 11-71, and there are 6 three things that I want to accomplish this 7 8 morning. One is the size of the bankruptcy. 9 Second is the status of the discovery, and we 10 were going on that issue of construction. Is 11 Pinnacle's counsel here? Excellent. Okay. 12 Who is here on behalf of the Bureau? 13 MS. KANE: Pamela Kane and Brian 14 15 Carter, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. I think 16 that the reporter has all your names. 17 don't think we have to go through this again. 18 I don't mind, but --19 What was the third thing I was 20 going to do? Well, I wanted to see where Mr. 21

Havens sits with respect to counsel, and I see

1	his counsel here is at the table this morning,
2	which I appreciate that very much under these
3	conditions, particularly. I know it is
4	Well, anyway we are not going to get caught up
5	in it yet, and I think it is going to be
6	handled very well. I appreciate your input,
7	Mr. Havens, thus far. The pleading seemed to
8	be very clear.
9	I just want to be sure everybody
10	is on the same wave length, and then, as far
11	as I am concerned I will tell you what my
12	biggest concern is. I am going now from back
13	to front. When are you going to have a new
14	lawyer, Mr. Havens?
15	MR. HAVENS: As soon as I can
16	complete screening, interviewing, and make
17	that arrangement. No attempt at delay on my
18	side.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: There's a lot of
20	good ones out there. Why should be that be
21	spend that much time on it?
22	MR. HAVENS: Well, what I have

1	found, Your Honor, is that, first, I need a
2	firm who can handle this matter. There is a
3	related bankruptcy. Drinker also at the same
4	time felt that had to withdraw on
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, don't get
6	into that. I don't want to get into that. I
7	want to get into when you are going to get
8	another lawyer.
9	MR. HAVENS: Okay. I can't give
10	you a date right now.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, how much time
12	is it going to take you? You are a good
13	businessman. How long is it going to take you
14	to get a lawyer?
15	MR. HAVENS: I don't know at this
16	time. I am attempting myself. In the time I
17	can make available every day, I am going
18	through certain local counsel I have around
19	the country, and I am doing some screening and
20	checking and have some tips coming up.
21	As soon as I can give a reasonable
22	estimation, I will be happy to do that.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you know,
2	this is not going to wait for you. I hope you
3	understand that. There is a whole list of
4	qualified communications lawyers in the Bar
5	Association Handbook. There are so many easy
6	ways to find a good law firm. You have a good
7	one now. So now you want to find another one.
8	You had no trouble finding the first one.
9	Drinker is a good firm. Hello?
10	MR. HAVENS: Yes, I am here.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, do you
12	understand what my concern is? I have to have
13	you in this case with an attorney, but on the
14	other hand, I am not going to wait for you.
15	MR. HAVENS: Hello?
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Hello. Who is not
17	hearing me? Hello? Hello. Are you okay?
18	Testing.
19	MR. HAVENS: I couldn't hear
20	anybody for about a minute.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, there was an
22	accident at this end. All right. Well, I am

saying is that I am not going to put up with any kind of a prolonged delay. I think you should have -- You know, 10 days, to me, is very reasonable. You can wind somebody up by 10 days, and then that party -- I'm sorry, that firm can get in touch with me and tell me what that attorney has to do to get ready. It shouldn't take you longer than 10 days to get a lawyer.

As I say, I am not going to wait, but I am not here to drill you on this. I am just trying to find out what the status of things are so that we can get this railroad running again. That's all.

Now is there anything that you want to say about -- There is no reason to go into any -- The pleadings are very clear that the Drinker firm filed, and as far as I understand -- You saw my order. My understanding is there is no -- You have no problem with the fact that you and the Drinker firm have reached a point of separating. Is

1	that correct?
2	MR. HAVENS: No, I do object. I
3	have a problem with it.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you haven't
5	indicated it yet. Without going into detail,
6	what is the nature of the problem you have?
7	MR. HAVENS: The nature is they
8	gave me a notice to cease services.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
10	MR. HAVENS: And to immediately
11	withdraw without any discussion, without any
12	attempt to define what their asserted general
13	objections were, and it left It just
14	immediately cut off the relation, and it threw
15	this matter into disarray.
16	So I object to that under my
17	understanding of the Bar Association rules.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, wait a
19	minute. Wait a minute. The Drinker firm has
20	made a commitment in their supplemental
21	pleadings saying that they will stay with this
22	They will basically be around until you

find another attorney.

Correct me if I am wrong on this now, but basically, that is the understanding and, of course, they will do -- they will take all reasonable steps to transition the case files and what-not to the new attorney. That is really the only two things you can ask of them, and I am not going to get into the nuts and bolts of what or what not may be the problem.

You have to have a meeting of the minds on that kind of relationship. If you don't have it anymore, then that's it. So I will leave you on a basis to object, but -- If you are looking for a new -- If you are really, really looking for a new lawyer, I am assuming that your mind has now shifted gears, and you are finished with the Drinker firm, and you are going after somebody. You are looking for somebody else to represent you.

So let's forget about why you and the Drinker firm had, if I may call it, a

1	falling out or whatever you want to fall it.
2	Okay? You with me?
3	MR. HAVENS: Yes, sir, I hear you,
4	but you asked me my view, and I gave it to
5	you.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: I appreciate that,
7	and I am telling you
8	MR. HAVENS: My objection is that
9	and you are telling me not to get into it,
10	and that is fine, but it is a public filing
11	that Drinker made, and I believe it in error.
12	I believe they did not characterize accurately
13	the reason for the falling out.
14	So I won't get into detail, but I
15	am making that statement.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well,
17	it is noted for the record, and to the extent
18	that that is an objection, I am going to
19	overrule it for the reasons that I have
20	stated. The record is very clear.
21	The only thing I need to know
22	about it is that there has, in fact, been a

parting of the ways, and I have to know that 1 2 you are going to be -- that there is going to be a reasonable transition to your next 3 counsel of choice, and the Drinker firm has 4 undertaken to do all that. 5 So I don't want to delay them. 6 don't want to -- I see no further reason why 7 they have to be participating in this -- Well, 8 9 I would like you to stay at the hearing, but I am not expecting much participation. 10 MR. HAVENS: May I ask, there is a 11 related bankruptcy, of course. That is one of 12 13 the topics today. My company has been very active in that. Drinker was our lead counsel 14 We still have local counsel in 15 on that. Mississippi who are stretching themselves to 16 maintain the actions we have down in that 17 18 case. I have a trip coming up where I 19 have to be deposed in that case and testify 20 and take certain actions before that, and we 21

have preparation for that. That is going to

1 take a good bit of time in the next 10 days. 2 I would ask 15 days. JUDGE SIPPEL: I will give you 12 3 4 days. We will cut it in half. You got it. 5 You got 12 days, and I am not asking you to do much more than just pick up a phone and get a 6 commitment from some firm that they will 7 8 represent you, and then we could take it from 9 there, but get somebody within 12 days. 10 lawyers around, good There's plenty of 11 lawyers. 12 All right. I don't want to spend 13 anymore time on that. So now we have -- I have two other remaining issues. By the way, 14 15 to the extent that the -- I guess, well, it 16 was in my written order yesterday. I have 17 granted their motion to withdraw under the 18 conditions that are very clear in that order. 19 Now where do we stand on the 20 bankruptcy? Is he still in traffic? He is

not here yet? Okay. Counsel is in traffic --

for Maritime.

21

1	Where do we stand then on
2	discovery? Well, let me ask the Bureau then.
3	Is the Bureau satisfied with the reports they
4	are getting on the status of the bankruptcy?
5	MS. KANE: We do have some
6	questions. We were hoping that that would be
7	answered today in terms of some of the details
8	of the most recent status report. Obviously,
9	I am sure Your Honor has some questions as
10	well for Mr. Keller. So maybe those will be
11	answered today in terms of some of the
12	specifics that were raised in the status
13	report.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Mr. Keller
15	has just entered the courtroom. So we got a
16	full deck now.
17	MR. KELLER: I apologize, Your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right.
20	Traffic is traffic. Mr. Keller, when you get
21	settled in there, can you just bring us up to
22	date on where the bankruptcy Give your

report on the bankruptcy status.

MR. KELLER: Okay. And let me say that in the status report that we filed, we mentioned that some of the attestations say that I have been approved by the court and others were coming up for hearing. That hearing is, I believe, scheduled for February the 2nd of the applications.

There were a few applications on the list for which court approval has not been sought. In the case of at least two of those -- it is IPL and WPL -- they withdrew their applications, and I think they have even filed a motion here to withdraw from this proceeding.

Maritime believes, as debtor in possession, we could probably have the legal right to still kind of hold them to these contracts as an executory agreement under bankruptcy law, but that decision is going to be deferred, because while we could do that, it might entail additional legal costs.

1	It is also possible that IPL or
2	WPL may change their mind, but we don't know
3	where those two contracts stand. But with a
4	few minor exceptions, all the others have
5	either been approved or are set for hearing on
6	February 2nd. That is where that part stands.
7	MR. TURNER: Your Honor, Josh
8	Turner, counsel for IPL and WPL. Actually,
9	one of the things that we wanted to address
10	today was just to ensure that we have, in
11	fact, withdrawn from this hearing, because we
12	haven't seen an order on that.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry about
14	that.
15	MR. TURNER: But I would
16	appreciate some additional clarity from Mr.
17	Keller on the statement that he just made
18	about the status of the IPL contracts in
19	bankruptcy. Did you say that you are not
20	seeking to assume those under the Bankruptcy
21	Act?
22	MR. KELLER: No, I said we haven't

1	I just spoke with bankruptcy counsel
2	yesterday. My understanding is a decision
3	hasn't been made yet as to whether to assume
4	those or not.
5	MR. TURNER: Because I believe
6	motions to assume have been filed.
7	MR. KELLER: Oh, I stand
8	corrected. Motions to assume have been filed,
9	but he has not set a hearing date.
10	MR. TURNER: The hearing is set
11	for the 2nd for those.
12	MR. KELLER: For IPL and WPL?
13	MR. TURNER: Yes.
14	MR. KELLER: That is not what I
15	was told yesterday.
16	MR. TURNER: That is my
17	understanding.
18	MR. KELLER: Well, if that is the
19	case, then that makes it
20	MR. TURNER: In Mississippi.
21	MR. KELLER: You better check,
22	because it is also, I understand, a three-hour

1	drive from the airport to get to the court.
2	MR. TURNER: That is our
3	understanding.
4	MR. KELLER: If that is true I
5	was told yesterday that the applications had
6	been filed, but that the hearing hadn't been
7	set for those. All right. Well, I stand
8	corrected then, if that is the case. But that
9	is even better. That means that those would
10	be heard on February the 2nd. I don't know
11	what that means, as far as your withdrawal,
12	though.
13	MR. TURNER: Withdrawal? Well,
14	the applications have been withdrawn.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see. yes.
16	MR. TURNER: The IPL and WPL have
17	been withdrawn, and we have moved to withdraw
18	from this hearing, and just we are waiting for
19	an order from Your Honor on that to confirm
20	that we are no longer a part of this hearing.
21	We don't believe that the
22	contracts are still valid, and are going to

oppose the assumption of those contracts in 1 2 Bankruptcy Court, but that is not, obviously, an issue for Your Honor. 3 That is an issue for the judge in Mississippi. 4 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. MR. TURNER: So as far as Your 6 7 Honor is concerned, the only thing, I think, that is relevant is the applications have been 8 withdrawn. Maritime withdrew the applications 9 The Wireless Bureau has 10 to transfer. 11 confirmed that, and we have moved to withdraw 12 from this hearing, and just would like Your Honor's confirmation that that is okay. 13 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Does the Bureau 15 have any objection to that? MS. KANE: We have no objections, 16 17 Your Honor. 18 MR. RICHARDS: Your Honor, Jack Richards with Keller and Heckman. One of our 19 20 clients is DCP Midstream, LP, which is in a 21 similar situation with Mr. Turner's clients,

also has withdrawn the application, also has

1	sought to withdraw from this proceeding. We
2	would appreciate clarification on that issue
3	as well, and would also appreciate Mr.
4	Keller's reference to DCP in connection with
5	the bankruptcy proceeding and that contract.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: So WB, the Wireless
7	Bureau, has basically approved it or has acted
8	on your request to withdraw, and the
9	application has been withdrawn?
10	MR. TURNER: Those have been
11	withdrawn and those
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I was talking
13	about Mr. Richards. Yes, I am clear on you.
14	MR. RICHARDS: Yes, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: So you are clean as
16	far as the agency is concerned, except for
17	this?
18	MR. RICHARDS: Correct, Your
19	Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I will
21	get both of those orders out today granting
22	those motions. So if you gentlemen you can

1	leave here anytime you want.
2	MR. RICHARDS: We have four other
3	clients in this proceeding. So if it is okay,
4	I would like to stay.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a DCP We
6	are talking about DCP, though.
7	MR. RICHARDS: Yes, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And I've got Mr.
9	Turner as IPL. Is that correct? Do I have
10	that right?
11	MR. TURNER: Interstate Power and
12	Light and Wisconsin Power and Light, and that
13	is a withdrawal.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, also Wisconsin
15	Power and Light, too?
16	MR. TURNER: Also Wisconsin Power
17	and Light. So IPL and WPL, and that is a
18	withdrawal of the parties, not just the
19	counsel.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand. Are
21	there any other parties that you represent
22	besides those two?

1	MR. TURNER: No, Your Honor. I
2	will stay and not participate, if it is okay.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's fine. You
4	are welcome to stay. We are not serving
5	coffees and doughnuts, but you are welcome to
6	stay. All right.
7	Now please describe again, well,
8	what is the nature of the hearing? What does
9	the Bankruptcy Court have to hear? What is
10	the issue they have to hear?
11	MR. KELLER: First of all, the
12	basic issue for the Bankruptcy Court is these
13	licenses these license assets are assets of
14	the bankrupt estate. So in order to sell them,
15	that requires prior Bankruptcy approval, prior
16	Bankruptcy Court approval to sell the assets
17	pursuant to these contracts.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
19	MR. KELLER: So that is the
20	essence of what is there. Secondly
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you talking
22	about the contracts at issue in this case?

MR. KELLER: Some of them, yes. 1 2 Yes, the purchase agreements. In other words, even if the Commission were to say we consent 3 to these license assignments, we would still 4 have to get Bankruptcy Court approval to go 5 forward with the sale of those. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now I understand. 7 8 MR. KELLER: It is usually done the other way around. You usually get the 9 10 Bankruptcy Court approval first. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Right, because the Commission might not do anything with it until 12 13 you get the Bankruptcy approval. MR. KELLER: Right. So that is 14 15 what the hearings are about, as to whether or not to allow -- and one hearing was held a few 16 17 that approved the set weeks ago applications. I don't know them off the top 18 19 of my head, but they are listed in my status 20 report where the court approved those contracts, and on February 2nd another hearing 21

is scheduled where they will take up an

1	additional batch of contracts, apparently now
2	including also IPL and WPL.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: So if everything
4	goes your way on February 2nd, then you will
5	have then all the contracts will be taken
6	care of.
7	MR. KELLER: There are possibly a
8	couple of other minor contracts. For example,
9	DCP was mentioned.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
11	MR. KELLER: These are contracts,
12	though, which the company will decide whether
13	to pursue, but some of them are for like very
14	small amounts and were prepaid anyway. So
15	there is no net result. So that we may or may
16	not pursue those, but they will not affect the
17	overall plan.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't really
19	understand that.
20	MR. KELLER: Well, for example,
21	they are either red or blue. The DCP
22	contract, for example, it may not be worth the

1	company's while to pursue that, because it is
2	for a small amount, which was already prepaid.
3	So why waste litigation costs trying to get
4	something approved that is not going to result
5	in any net proceeds for the estate.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what does
7	that do to DCP?
8	MR. KELLER: Well, they went out
9	anyway. They have withdrawn.
10	MR. RICHARDS: They already DCP's
11	money. So they are not going to pursue it.
12	MR. KELLER: In the case of IPL
13	and WPL, it makes a bigger financial
14	difference, and we have to do the contours of
15	whether or not the litigation costs.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now the
17	bankruptcy judge he is aware of this, and
18	he is okay with that?
19	MR. KELLER: Yes.
20	MR. HAVENS: May I add that
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Who is this? Mr.
22	Havens?

1	MR. HAVENS: Yes, sir. I just
2	wanted to add quickly that SkyTel has appealed
3	the court's approval at the previous hearing
4	of certain of the APA.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Thank you.
6	Let me ask the Bureau. If on February 2nd
7	everything essentially everything gets
8	wrapped up by the Bankruptcy Court, what would
9	be the position of the Bureau with respect to
10	moving the Second Thursday issue upstairs, if
11	you want to call it that, to the Bureau for
12	them to look at?
13	MS. KANE: We haven't seen
14	anything from them or a representation of when
15	they might file the Second Thursday. I
16	thought that was part of what they were going
17	to be responding to today.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: I am just asking.
19	I understand that. I am not asking What I
20	am asking is That is basically all they
21	have to do, isn't it?
- 1	

MS. KANE: I think there was --